

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/075,530	HELWIG, MICHELE M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Darin Gibby.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 May 2005
**Time:** =

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

1, 30, 31

Prior art documents discussed:

Lee (US 4,718,876); Elwell (US 3,298,132)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Applicant's representative authorized cancelation of claim 30 and amendments to claims 1, 31 that further set forth the nature of the invention. See examiner's amendment.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)